




Philip Griesser (Autor)

**Engaged Community Participation by means of  
Responsible Tourism Development**

Exemplified at the National Park `La Campana`



<https://cuvillier.de/de/shop/publications/6453>

Copyright:

Cuvillier Verlag, Inhaberin Annette Jentzsch-Cuvillier, Nonnenstieg 8, 37075 Göttingen,  
Germany

Telefon: +49 (0)551 54724-0, E-Mail: [info@cuvillier.de](mailto:info@cuvillier.de), Website: <https://cuvillier.de>



## Table of contents

<b>List of figures</b> .....	<b>V</b>
<b>List of tables</b> .....	<b>VI</b>
<b>List of abbreviations</b> .....	<b>VIII</b>
<b>Summary of the Dissertation</b> .....	<b>IX</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background to the study .....	1
1.2 Aim and research objectives.....	2
1.3 Relevance to application.....	3
1.4 Contribution to knowledge.....	3
1.5 Course of the examination .....	4
<b>2 Review of Chile´s National Park Administration system with focus on the concerned case study – the National Park La Campana</b> .....	<b>6</b>
2.1 Introduction .....	6
2.2 Visitor pattern.....	10
2.3 Legislative framework .....	13
2.4 Financial parameters.....	16
2.5 Evaluation of an exemplifying National Park .....	18
2.6 National Park La Campana: situation analysis (facts and figures) .....	19
2.6.1 Introduction .....	19
2.6.2 Specific travel motives pattern .....	22
2.6.3 Visitor pattern.....	23
2.6.4 Tourism-related socio-economic employment indicators in the community of Olmué	26
2.6.5 Basic infrastructural condition .....	27
2.6.6 Sector specific conditions .....	28
2.6.6.1 Sector Granizo .....	28
2.6.6.2 Sector Cajon Grande.....	29
2.6.6.3 Sector Palmas de Ocoa.....	30
2.6.7 Activity infrastructure.....	31
2.6.8 Ecosystem analysis.....	32
2.6.8.1 Geographical condition .....	32
2.6.8.2 Flora and fauna.....	33
2.6.9 Cultural attractions .....	34
<b>3 State of research for Responsible Tourism development and community engagement</b> .	<b>36</b>
3.1 Principles of Responsible Tourism .....	36
3.2 Analysis of the key issues.....	39
3.2.1 Economic issues .....	39
3.2.1.1 Budgetary situation .....	39
3.2.1.2 Innovation dialogue with concessioners.....	39



3.2.1.3 Economic return to local communities.....	40
3.2.1.4 Corporate Social Responsibility.....	40
3.2.2 Environmental issues.....	41
3.2.2.1 Environmental degradation (natural habitat) .....	41
3.2.2.2 Managing tourism environmental impacts.....	41
3.2.3 Social issues .....	42
3.2.3.1 Interpretation and exploitation of culture .....	42
3.2.3.2 Interaction between hosts (local community) and guests (tourists) .....	43
3.2.3.3 Training opportunités and potentially transferable skills .....	43
3.3 Best Practice Review (potentially transferable skills).....	44
3.3.1 Introduction .....	44
3.3.2 National Park Berchtesgaden .....	45
3.3.2.1 General conditions .....	45
3.3.2.2 Research projects.....	46
3.3.2.3 Present project status .....	49
3.3.2.4 Final consideration and outlook.....	50
3.3.2.5 Issues.....	51
3.3.2.5.1 Introduction.....	51
3.3.2.5.2 Economic issues.....	53
3.3.2.5.3 Environmental issues .....	53
3.3.2.5.4 Social issues.....	54
3.3.3 UNESCO World Heritage Swiss Tectonic Sardona.....	55
3.3.3.1 General conditions .....	55
3.3.3.2 Geotourism .....	56
3.3.3.3 Requirements for protection and management .....	58
3.3.3.4 Visitor centre and interpretation.....	59
3.3.3.5 Final consideration and outlook.....	61
3.3.3.6 Issues.....	63
3.4 Deriving hypotheses from the issues and best practice analysis .....	65
<b>4 Theoretical bases for identification and evaluation of relevant determinants.....</b>	<b>66</b>
4.1 Definitional differentiation.....	66
4.2 Behavioural decision theories.....	70
4.2.1 Theory of the cognitive dissonance .....	70
4.2.2 Attitude theory .....	72
4.3 Sustainability theories and model.....	73
4.3.1 Micro-economic theory .....	73
4.3.2 Combining theory and model.....	76
4.3.2.1 Tourism resilience theory .....	76
4.3.2.2 Dynamic model of sustainability .....	77



<b>5 Methodology</b> .....	<b>80</b>
5.1 Introduction .....	80
5.1.1 Research objectives.....	80
5.1.2 Research questions .....	81
5.1.3 Research strategy .....	81
5.2 Primary data.....	81
5.3 Secondary data (analysis and evaluation of data) .....	83
5.4 Community survey.....	84
5.4.1 Introduction .....	84
5.4.2 Survey type .....	85
5.4.3 Suitability of questions .....	86
5.5 Visitor survey .....	86
5.5.1 Introduction .....	86
5.5.2 Survey type .....	87
5.5.3 Suitability of questions .....	88
5.6 Expert interviews .....	88
5.6.1 Introduction .....	88
5.6.2 Survey type .....	89
5.7 Discussion groups .....	89
5.7.1 Introduction .....	89
5.7.2 Objectives .....	90
5.7.3 Results and action plans.....	90
5.8 Representativeness of the cohorts .....	90
5.8.1 Introduction .....	90
5.8.2 Cohorts.....	91
5.9 Analysis of the data .....	92
5.7.1 Introduction .....	92
5.7.2 Descriptive analyses .....	92
5.7.3 Regression analyses (Logit Models).....	92
<b>6 Empirical investigation: visitor and community- related procedures and processes</b> .....	<b>97</b>
6.1 Results of the univariate analysis of the visitor survey.....	97
6.1.1 Visitor pattern.....	97
6.1.2 Key performance indicators.....	99
6.1.3 Financial parameters.....	101
6.1.4 National Park insight interpretation.....	104
6.1.4.1 National Park infrastructure improvements .....	104
6.1.4.2 Level of satisfaction .....	105
6.1.5 Conservation efforts.....	107
6.1.5.1 Self-engagement .....	107



6.1.4.2 Degree of information .....	109
6.2 Results of the bivariate analysis of the cross tabulations of the tourist survey .....	112
6.2.1 Personal engagement.....	112
6.2.2 Willingness to benefit the community .....	115
6.2.3 Enhancement of the local economy .....	119
6.3 Results of the regression analyses of the tourist survey .....	121
6.3.1 Personal engagement.....	122
6.3.2 Willingness to benefit the community .....	124
6.3.3 Enhancement of the local economy .....	127
6.4 Results of the univariate analysis of the results of the community survey.....	129
6.4.1 Community pattern.....	129
6.4.2 Attitude towards the National Park .....	133
6.4.3 Benefits through the National Park.....	133
6.4.4 Degree of knowledge .....	135
6.4.5 Relation towards visitors.....	139
6.4.6 Personal engagement in conservation efforts.....	140
6.5 Results of the bivariate analysis of the cross tabulations of the community survey .....	142
6.5.1 Riciipient of financial benefits .....	142
6.5.2 Positive attitude towards the National Park.....	146
6.5.3 National Park as an employment source .....	150
6.6 Results of the regression analyses of the community members survey .....	154
6.6.1 Recipient of financial benefits.....	155
6.6.2 Positive attitude towards the National Park.....	157
6.6.3 National Park as an employment source.....	159
<b>7 Discussion of the results and outlook.....</b>	<b>162</b>
7.1 Answering of the research question.....	162
7.2 Limitations .....	185
7.3 Implications.....	190
<b>8 Final consideration .....</b>	<b>200</b>
<b>Bibliography.....</b>	<b>XI</b>
<b>Appendix .....</b>	<b>XXIV</b>
<b>Scientific Background .....</b>	<b>XXXIV</b>



## List of figures

Figure 1: Course of the examination.....	5
Figure 2: Satellite Map of Chile (position of the NP's).....	9
Figure 3: NP visitors in Chile (2000-2010).....	10
Figure 4: NP visitors in Chile 2010 .....	11
Figure 5: Monthly visitor evaluation 2010 .....	12
Figure 6: International tourist arrivals 2009.....	21
Figure 7: NPLC visitors 2000-2010.....	24
Figure 8: NPLC monthly evaluation 2010 .....	25
Figure 9: Visitor pattern 2010 .....	26
Figure 10: National Park `La Campana` .....	27
Figure 11: Variables of geotourism research.....	57
Figure 12: Visitor Centre UNESCO World Heritage Swiss Tectonic Arena Sardona.....	61
Figure 13: Component model of attitude.....	72
Figure 14: Influencing factors for the decision for movement or objection in the case of dissatisfaction of the demander .....	75
Figure 15: A Dynamic Model of Sustainability: Phase Diagram for Environmentally Sustainable Tourism: Steady States and Optimal Paths.....	79
Figure 16: Visitor pattern, N = 200.....	97
Figure 17: Type of fellow travellers, N = 200 .....	98
Figure 18: Visit frequency NPLC index, N = 200.....	99
Figure 19: Motivation categories, N = 200 .....	100
Figure 20: Expenditure index, N = 200 .....	101
Figure 21: Expenditure for local products, N = 135.....	103
Figure 22: Willingness to pay index, N = 200.....	104
Figure 23: Satisfaction index, N = 200.....	107
Figure 24: Planning phase, N = 200 .....	110
Figure 25: Degree of Information index, N = 200 .....	111
Figure 26: Monthly gross income index, N = 200.....	130
Figure 27: Household size index, N = 200.....	131
Figure 28: Place of residence index, N = 200 .....	132
Figure 29: Financial benefits, N = 200 .....	135
Figure 30: Activities CONAF, N = 200 .....	137
Figure 31: Interpretation centre index, N = 200.....	141
Figure 32: Cycle of social equilibrium.....	170



## List of tables

Table 1: Location and surface of National Parks in Chile.....	7
Table 2: Visitor pattern protected areas in Chile 2010 .....	19
Table 3: Key tourism performance indicator .....	26
Table 4: Entrance division (2011) .....	28
Table 5: Trails in the sector `Granizo` .....	29
Table 6: Trails in the sector `Cajon Grande` .....	30
Table 7: Trails in the sector `Palmas de Ocoa` .....	31
Table 8: Listing of activities .....	32
Table 9: Hypotheses .....	65
Table 10: Stakeholder analysis.....	68
Table 11: Strengths and Weaknesses` analysis of community-based planning .....	69
Table 12: Resilience cycle.....	77
Table 13: Content of the differing surveys .....	84
Table 14: Independent variables .....	96
Table 15: Activities in the NP, N = 200 .....	101
Table 16: Infrastructure improvements, N = 200.....	105
Table 17: Self-improvement, N = 51 .....	108
Table 18: Personal engagement, N = 80 .....	109
Table 19: Source of information, N = 200 .....	110
Table 20a: Personal engagement.....	113
Table 20b: Personal engagement.....	114
Table 20c: Personal engagement.....	115
Table 21a: Willingness to benefit the community .....	116
Table 21b: Willingness to benefit the community .....	117
Table 21c: Willingness to benefit the community .....	118
Table 22a: Enhancement of the local economy .....	119
Table 22b: Enhancement of the local economy .....	120
Table 22c: Enhancement of the local economy .....	121
Table 23: Results of the Logit model: Personal engagement (no = 0) vs. personal engagement (yes = 1), N = 200 .....	122
Table 24: Results of the Logit model: Willingness to benefit the community (no = 0) vs. willingness to benefit the community (yes = 1), N = 200 .....	125
Table 25: Results of the Logit model: Enhancement of local economy (no = 0) vs. enhancement of local economy (yes = 1), N = 200.....	127
Table 26: Occupational status, N = 200.....	130
Table 27: Personal benefits, N = 60 .....	133



Table 28: Work experience, N = 35 .....	134
Table 29: Services and products, N = 91 .....	134
Table 30: Activities, N = 200 .....	136
Table 31: Positive aspects, N = 190 .....	138
Table 32: Tourism description, N = 200 .....	138
Table 33: Complaints, N = 60 .....	139
Table 34a: Recipient of financial benefits .....	143
Table 34b: Recipient of financial benefits .....	144
Table 34c: Recipient of financial benefits .....	145
Table 34d: Recipient of financial benefits .....	146
Table 35a: Positive attitude towards the NP .....	147
Table 35b: Positive attitude towards the NP .....	148
Table 35c: Positive attitude towards the NP .....	149
Table 35d: Positive attitude towards the NP .....	150
Table 36a: NP as an employment opportunity .....	151
Table 36b: NP as an employment opportunity .....	152
Table 36c: NP as an employment opportunity .....	153
Table 36d: NP as an employment opportunity .....	154
Table 37: Results of the Logit model: Recipient of financial benefits (no = 0) vs. recipient of financial benefits (yes = 1), N = 200 .....	155
Table 38: Results of the Logit model: Positive attitude towards the NP (no = 0) vs. positive attitude towards the NP (yes = 1), N = 200 .....	158
Table 39: Results of the Logit model: NP as an employment source (no = 0) vs. NP as an employment source (yes = 1), N = 200 .....	160